





### UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN Global Health Priorities

JNICEF Ethiopia/2017/Meklit Mersha Creative Commons, 2.0)

**JCP-E Policy Brief No.12** 



December 2019

# Supporting decision makers to save lives: cost-effectiveness analyses for priority setting in Ethiopia

## Summary

Over recent decades, Ethiopia has experienced great improvements in health, with life expectancy increasing almost 18 years between 1990-2015. However, progress in reducing disease and premature death is limited by scarce health budgets. It is therefore important that decision makers have the best possible information to inform priority setting in health, especially when seeking to deliver equitable, universal health coverage.

The results from three cost-effectiveness analyses, contextualized to the Ethiopia setting are presented here. This includes the cost-effectiveness rates of 61 interventions across obstetric and neonatal care, psychiatric and neurological treatment and prevention and treatment of cardiovascular diseases. This provides the first published, country-specific, cost-effectiveness analyses across multiple health interventions in Ethiopia.

The analyses found that a 2.8 US\$ per capita increase in the annual health budget could increase population coverage 20-75% for all of the 22 most cost-effective interventions, averting 0.5 million DALYs every year.

Neonatal resuscitation, kangaroo mother care and antibiotics for newborn sepsis stand out as best buys in the Ethiopian setting.

# AUTHORS

Kjell Arne Johansson<sup>1</sup> Mieraf Taddesse Tolla<sup>2</sup> Solomon T Memirie<sup>1.3</sup> Ingrid Miljeteig<sup>1</sup> Mahlet KHabtemariam<sup>4,5</sup> Addis T Woldemariam<sup>5</sup> Stephane Verguet<sup>2</sup> Ole Frithjof Norheim<sup>1</sup>

### AFFILIATION

<sup>1</sup> Bergen Centre for Ethics and Priority Setting (BCEPS), Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Norway

<sup>2</sup> Harvard School of Public Health, Boston

<sup>3</sup> Addis Ababa Center for Ethics and Priority Setting (ACEPS), Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia

<sup>4</sup> African Union, Centre for Disease Control, Ethiopia

<sup>5</sup> Former Chief of Staff, Federal Ministry of Health, Ethiopia

### LINKED ARTICLE

Johansson KA, et al. (2019). Country contextualization of costeffectiveness studies: lessons from Ethiopia. *BMJ Global Health* <u>https://gh.bmj.com/conte</u> nt/4/6/e001320

#### Disease Control Priorities-Ethiopia (DCP-E)

This policy brief is based on preliminary work from the DCP-E project funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. DCP-E is a partnership between Ethiopia's Federal Ministry of Health, the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health and the University of Bergen.



# **Key Findings**

### **Maternal and Child Health**

Maternal and child health services are high priority services in Ethiopia but have low coverage. Almost all of the maternal- and neonatal health interventions analysed here are highly cost-effective and can save many lives. Increasing coverage of all maternal and child health interventions by 20% is estimated to cost 21million US\$ (0.2 US\$ per capita) and avert around 200,000 DALYs.

### Cardiovascular Disease (CVD)

Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease was found to be amongst the most costeffective interventions, costing between 74 and 442 US\$/DALY averted. Scale up of the most effective CVD interventions would avert 122,000 DALYs and cost around 21.3 million US\$ (0.20 US\$ per capita)

## Mental Health

Mental health interventions were the least costeffective of the studied. However, other priorities such as disease severity or the availability of alternative treatments may be considered by policymakers. If only the most cost-effective interventions were scaled up by 30-75% in Ethiopia this is expected to avert around 102,000 DALYs and cost 156 million US\$ (1.5 US\$ per capita).

## Implications for policy

Across the world there is a strong pressure to implement interventions which are not costeffective; Ethiopia is no exception. By displacing more cost-effective interventions, expensive treatments can have a negative impact on population health.

This study provides policy makers in Ethiopia with user-friendly cost-effectiveness analyses from the Ethiopian context which can inform explicit priority package.